



Evaluating preparedness of emergency trainees to triage victims of mass casualty incidents

Ayad Al-Moslih¹, Abdul Naser Howaidi², Shafa Tlayib², Alison Carr¹, Helene Nilsson³, Elmogiera Fadlallah Elsaye Elawad⁴

ABSTRACT

Background: Triage of victims in mass casualty incidents (MCI) is crucial in prioritizing care and allocating resources¹. Triage skills are important to be evaluated for training and better preparedness. Using clinical vignettes is a well-known approach to evaluate clinicians' knowledge and decision-making². This study aims to evaluate preparedness of emergency residents and fellows to triage victims of MCI.

Methods: Emergency Medicine (EM) residents and fellows at Hamad General Hospital, Emergency Department (HGH-ED) were invited to the study. Each participant filled a questionnaire followed by triaging of 15 vignette-based victims of MCI. Descriptive statistics elaborated on participants' training and relevant exposures (Table 1). It also showed the degree of agreement in their triage results and rates of under-triage and over-triage. Cohen's kappa coefficient showed inter-rater agreement and internal consistency in triage results.

Results: Participants included 63 emergency trainees, 27 residents, and 36 fellows. Only 28.3% (n = 17) of them participated in an MCI drill over the past two years. 51.7% (n = 31) attended training on triage in MCI. 73.3% (n = 44) indicated that they have rarely or never triaged victims in a real MCI or a drill. Only 3.3% (n = 2) perceived themselves as highly confident in triaging victims of MCI. A total of 904 triage outcomes resulted from this exercise (Table 2). The overall rate of agreement among the triage outcomes is 73% (660 times in 904 triage outcomes). The rate of over-triage was 36.8% and 23% under-triage.

Conclusion: Better triage results was associated with previous triage training. The rate of over-triage was as the literature recommends. However, the rate of under triage was alarmingly higher than the literature recommendation as <5%³. The higher rate of under-triage imposes a risk of missing seriously injured victims, which may lead to higher mortality or poor patients' outcomes. Further training and exercises may be the key to saving more lives and resources.

Keywords: triage, mass casualty, emergency medicine trainees, assessment

¹College of Medicine, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

²Emergency Department, Hamad Hamad General Hospital, Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar

³Medical Faculty of Health sciences, Linköping University, Sweden

⁴Social and Economic Survey Research Institute, Doha, Qatar

*Email: amosleh@qu.edu.qa

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5339/jemtac.2020.qhc.3>

© 2021 Al-Moslih, Howaidi, Tlayib, Carr, Nilsson, Elawad, licensee HBKU Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license CC BY-4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Table 1. Participants' prior training in MCI triage in relation to their trainee title

| | Residents | Fellows | Total % (n) |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Attended MCI triage training | 57.7% (15) | 47.1% (16) | 51.7% (31) |
| Did not attend MCI triage training | 42.3% (11) | 52.9% (18) | 48.3% (29) |

Table 2. Triage results of the 15 MCI victims' vignettes by the participants, including the rate of agreement, over-triage

| Victim no. | Triaged by | Skipped by | Actual triage status | Precision rate (Triaged correctly by EM trainees) | Over-triage rate | Under-triage rate |
|---------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 1 | 62 | 1 | Yellow | 85.5% | 9.7% | 4.8% |
| 2 | 62 | 1 | Red | 64.5% | | 35.5% |
| 3 | 58 | 5 | Red | 75.8% | | 24% |
| 4 | 61 | 2 | Red | 63.9% | | 36% |
| 5 | 63 | 0 | Red | 100% | | |
| 6 | 59 | 4 | Red | 69.5% | | 30.5% |
| 7 | 60 | 3 | Red | 83.3% | | 16.6% |
| 8 | 61 | 2 | Red | 75.4% | | 24.6% |
| 9 | 56 | 7 | Red | 87.5% | | 14.3% |
| 10 | 61 | 2 | Red | 85.2% | | 14.7% |
| 11 | 61 | 2 | Green | 55.7% | 44.2% | |
| 12 | 60 | 3 | Green | 58.3% | 41.6% | |
| 13 | 60 | 3 | Yellow | 43.3% | 51.6% | |
| 14 | 59 | 4 | Red | 83% | | 16.9% |
| 15 | 60 | 3 | Red | 65% | | 35% |
| Total victims | Total triage results | Total skipped | 11 red 2 yellow 2 green | 73% Overall agreement (Mean precision) | 36.8% average over-triage rate in total of 4 victims | 23% average under-triage rate in a total of 11 victims |

Note: This study meets the exemption criteria stated under (2.2.2 a, b & c) and (2.4) of the Qatar University IRB "Handbook for Ethical Rules and Regulations for Research Involving Human Subjects". The educational study is based on a retrospective data of quality assurance surveys that took place during an MCI training on triaging, which lacks any imposed risk or breach of privacy to the participants.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hick JL, Hanfling D, Cantrill SV. Allocating scarce resources in disasters: emergency department principles. *Ann Emerg Medicine*. 2012;59:177–87.
- [2] Mohan D, Fischhoff B, Farris C, Switzer GE, Rosengart MR, Yealy DM, et al. Validating a vignette-based instrument to study physician decision making in trauma triage. *Med Decis Making*. 2014;34:242–52.
- [3] Peng J, Xiang H. Trauma undertriage and overtriage rates: are we using the wrong formulas?. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2016;34(11):2191–2192. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2016.08.061.