

The Satirical Bureaucracy: Deconstructing Developmental Fantasies in Iranian Media

Amir Hafezikhah
Ph.D. Student of Political Science
Department of Political Sciences, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran

Amir.Haphezikhah@ase.ui.ac.ir

Abstract

This study examines the satirical television series of Mehran Modiri—*Barareh Nights* (2005), *Bitter Coffee* (2010), and *Heyoola* (2019)—to analyze how they critique bureaucratic modernization, authoritarian developmentalism, and neoliberal ideologies in Iran. Employing critical discourse analysis and post-development theory, the study explores how these series use historical parodies, linguistic absurdity, and dark comedy to expose institutional dysfunction, commodify reform, and emphasize the collapse of meritocracy. The findings show that Modiri’s satire subverts power through symbolic breakdowns of institutional rationality, offering cultural resistance in a censored media environment. The series seeks to erode trust in development ideologies, highlighting media’s role in reimagining modernity. This study contributes to understanding satire’s socio-political impact in authoritarian contexts and sets the stage for a follow-up analysis of character-based critique, suggesting that satire fosters critical consciousness, with future research recommended to explore regional comedic traditions and audience reception.

Keywords: Satire, bureaucracy, neoliberalism, post-development, cultural resistance, Iranian media, critical discourse analysis

The Satirical Bureaucracy: Deconstructing Developmental Fantasies in

Iranian Media

In contemporary Iran, where media censorship limits direct political critique, televised comedy has evolved into a key site of mediated dissent. Within this constrained environment, humor provides an indirect yet powerful vocabulary for negotiating questions of power, modernity, and everyday survival. Among Iran’s most influential satirists is Mehran Modiri, a filmmaker and television producer whose long-running comedies—*Barareh Nights* (2005), *Bitter Coffee* (2010–2012), and *Heyoola [The Monster]*

(2019)—have reached millions of viewers across social classes. For audiences living under censorship, Modiri’s brand of social and political satire has become both a mirror of institutional absurdity and a coded language of critique. His characters, idioms, and invented dialects now circulate in everyday Iranian speech, turning television comedy into a shared arena of symbolic politics.

Despite this cultural prominence, academic scholarship on Iranian televised satire remains fragmented. Most existing works, such as Bayat (2013) and Falaki (2022), emphasize audience reception or genre conventions, but seldom address how humor functions as a critique of bureaucratic rationality, authoritarian developmentalism, and neoliberal modernization. This absence reflects a broader gap between humor studies and critical development theory. These two fields rarely intersect despite sharing concerns with power, normativity, and symbolic resistance. Comparable research on Middle Eastern media under censorship—such as Armbrust (2000; 2017) on Egyptian television and Wedeen (1999) on political spectacle in Syria—demonstrates how irony and parody destabilize official narratives while remaining legible to local audiences. Yet similar analyses of Iranian satire are scarce.

To fill this gap, the present study situates Iranian televised satire within post-development and post-authoritarian frameworks, examining how Modiri’s comedies perform what Escobar (1995) calls “*epistemological disobedience*”—a refusal of universalist developmental paradigms through vernacular critique. It also engages Spivak’s (1988) notion of subaltern speech to consider how satire gives voice to dissent within hegemonic media structures.

Methodologically, this study combines cultural discourse analysis (Fairclough, 1992; Wodak & Meyer, 2015) and narrative analysis (Riessman, 2008) to investigate how linguistic play, parody, and absurdity operate as strategies of mediated resistance. Post-development theory provides the conceptual lens through which these rhetorical inversions are read as cultural negotiations with modernization

projects. In this sense, satire functions not only as critique but as what Scott (1990) terms an “*art of resistance*”—a subtle, everyday form of subversion that thrives under authoritarian constraint. Furthermore, the localized absurdities and linguistic innovations in Modiri’s comedies illustrate what Appadurai (1996) calls *vernacular modernities*, offering Iranian re-imaginings of progress beyond Western teleology.

Accordingly, this article analyzes selected episodes from *Barareh Nights*, *Bitter Coffee*, and *Heyoola* to explore how televised satire navigates censorship while articulating alternative visions of authority and development.

Literature Review: Satire, Power, and Developmental Discourse

This study employs a theoretical framework that integrates three interconnected concepts—developmental imaginaries, institutional parody, and satire as soft resistance—to analyze how Iranian media, through the works of Mehran Modiri, symbolically critiques state-led modernization projects. Drawing from interdisciplinary scholarship, this framework situates *Barareh Nights*, *Bitter Coffee*, and *Heyoola* within broader discourses of bureaucratic failure, symbolic governance, and mediated dissent.

Satire and Censorship in the Middle East

Across the Middle East, satire has emerged as one of the most enduring cultural responses to censorship and authoritarian control. In contexts where political speech is restricted, humor becomes a coded language of dissent—simultaneously tolerated and subversive. As Armbrust (2000, 2017) and Wedeen (1999) demonstrate in their studies of Egyptian and Syrian popular media, irony and parody enable citizens to express critique through indirection, allegory, and exaggeration. Similarly, El-Amrani (2011) notes that Egyptian television satire during the Mubarak era blurred the line between complicity and critique, allowing political messages to circulate under the guise of entertainment. Kraidy (2016) expands this

argument to the post-Arab Spring context, showing how “creative insurgency” transforms humor into a cultural form of protest, where laughter becomes an act of reclaiming agency. These works highlight a regional pattern: satire thrives not in the absence of censorship but because of it, transforming constraint into creative license.

Within Iran, where satire operates under both religious and political censorship, the politics of televised comedy operates under similar pressures. Although Iran’s post-revolutionary media landscape is heavily regulated, it continues to generate vibrant popular comedies that balance critique with compliance. As the *Ajam Media Collective* (2014) observes, Iranian “home shows” use humor to negotiate moral codes, institutional surveillance, and the unspoken limits of representation. Zargar (2014) analyzed Mehran Modiri’s *Barareh Nights* and *Ghahve Talkh* through Foucault’s notion of heterotopias and Jameson’s idea of national allegory, revealing how censored television spaces can serve as microcosms of political negotiation. Falaki (2022) similarly interpreted Modiri’s comedies as mediating public frustrations with bureaucracy and reform, where narrative absurdity becomes a tool to expose institutional contradictions. In this sense, Iranian televised satire parallels its Arab counterparts: both function as veiled critiques of the state under the surface of laughter.

Linguistic play remains central to how Iranian satire survives censorship. *Heidari-Shahreza* (2017) identifies rhetorical strategies in Persian stand-up comedy—such as circular logic, lexical distortion, and dialogic irony—that also permeate Modiri’s sitcoms. Katouzian (2019) traces this tendency to a deeper Persian literary tradition of allegory and wit, from classical literature to television parody. *Zahedi and Khoshsaligheh* (2025) provide an empirical perspective through an eye-tracking study on humor-added subtitles, illustrating how Persian-speaking audiences process incongruity and double meaning—key mechanisms in navigating censorship through humor. Collectively, these studies suggest that linguistic and narrative indirection are not just stylistic devices but survival tactics in restricted media environments.

Regionally, the dynamics of Iranian satire resonate with other post-authoritarian or late-socialist contexts where humor critiques bureaucratic absurdity and ideological fatigue. Yurchak (2006), in his ethnography of Soviet-era everyday life, describes humor as a “zone of ironic detachment” that exposes the performative emptiness of official discourse. Bayat (2013) similarly conceptualizes such everyday practices of wit and improvisation as forms of “quiet encroachment”—nonconfrontational acts that reclaim moral and intellectual space under authoritarianism. Through this comparative lens, Modiri’s comedies stand alongside traditions of Middle Eastern and post-socialist satire that subvert power not by direct confrontation but by revealing the contradictions of its rhetoric.

Taken together, this section positions Iranian televised humor within a wider constellation of satirical practices shaped by censorship, linguistic ingenuity, and political ambiguity. These comparative insights lay the foundation for the following discussion on satire as cultural resistance, examining how humor not only survives constraint but turns it into a vehicle of critique.

Satire as Cultural Resistance

Satire has long functioned as a mode of cultural resistance—an indirect, affective, and symbolically rich way of contesting authority without overt defiance. Building on Scott’s (1990) notion of “hidden transcripts,” satire can be read as a vernacular form of political discourse that encodes critique within humor, irony, and parody. These symbolic inversions expose the incongruities between official ideology and lived experience, allowing marginalized voices to articulate dissent in socially acceptable ways. Similarly, Bakhtin’s (1984) concept of the “carnavalesque” frames humor as a ritualized suspension of hierarchies, where laughter destabilizes the sacred language of power. In this view, satire is not merely oppositional but dialogic—it creates a temporary public sphere in which the boundaries of the permissible can be reimagined.

In contemporary media environments, satire often becomes what Butler (1990) terms a “performative rearticulation” of authority, subverting its very grammar through repetition and parody. Studies from diverse authoritarian and postcolonial contexts illustrate how humor negotiates these dynamics. Holm (2023) analyzes Kenyan and Russian satire to demonstrate how commodified humor oscillates between critique and complicity, revealing the fine line between dissent and entertainment. Webber, Momen, Finley, Krefting, Willett, and Willett (2021) explore how Ugandan feminist activist Stella Nyanzi employs transgressive satire as political resistance despite state repression. Rodelo, Morales, and Garcia (2023) trace similar mechanisms in Mexico’s *carpas* and press satire, where ridicule of low-level bureaucrats bypasses censorship to challenge structural corruption. These studies affirm that satire’s political efficacy lies not in overt opposition but in its subtle destabilization of dominant narratives.

Within Iran, this dynamic takes a localized form shaped by cultural idioms and linguistic creativity. Mehran Modiri’s comedies—*Barareh Nights*, *Bitter Coffee*, and *Heyoola*—employ absurdity, metaphor, and parody to expose contradictions within bureaucratic and moral governance. Under conditions of censorship, humor becomes a semi-clandestine discourse that transforms everyday frustrations into symbolic critique. Following Bayat’s (2013) idea of “quiet encroachment,” Iranian televised satire can be read as soft resistance—a form of cultural negotiation that operates within constraints yet reclaims interpretive space. In this sense, laughter becomes an act of reappropriation: a way to inhabit and subtly rewrite the discursive codes of power.

Together, these perspectives situate satire as a key mode of mediated resistance, one that transforms compliance into critique and censorship into creativity. The following section extends this discussion by examining how such resistance manifests specifically in representations of bureaucracy, modernization, and developmental ideology in Iranian media.

Bureaucracy and Developmental Discourse

The critique of bureaucracy and modernization has long been central to both postcolonial and post-development scholarship. Escobar (1995) and Sachs (1992) define *developmentalism* as a discursive regime that legitimizes inequality through narratives of progress, rationality, and modernization. In media representations, this regime often manifests through bureaucratic absurdity—institutions that appear efficient yet function as symbolic performances of order. Mitchell (2002) conceptualizes this phenomenon as *symbolic governance*: a spectacle of modernity maintained through ritualized bureaucratic display rather than substantive reform. Satire, by exaggerating these contradictions, becomes a key site where the myth of development is dismantled.

Empirical studies from diverse contexts demonstrate how humor targets these bureaucratic illusions. Tang and Bhattacharya (2011) examine Chinese internet satire as a collective critique of bureaucratic inefficiency and moral hypocrisy, where parody transforms compliance into mockery. Bruhn and Doona (2021) analyze Swedish television's parody of school admission bureaucracy, showing how carnivalesque satire exposes institutional failure while simultaneously reflecting citizens' dependence on administrative logic. Holm (2023) extends this analysis to Kenyan and Russian media, where state-sponsored absurdities invite laughter as both catharsis and critique. These works collectively illustrate how bureaucratic institutions—often presented as pillars of modernization—become central targets of satirical imagination.

In the Iranian context, Modiri's television series transform bureaucratic figures into caricatures of developmental ideology. *Barareh Nights* constructs an allegorical village where modernization's promises of rational order collapse into chaos; *Bitter Coffee* depicts reformist idealism entangled in cycles of inefficiency; and *Heyoola* parodies neoliberal moralism through pseudo-charitable institutions. Through

these narratives, Modiri's satire enacts what Escobar (1995) calls *epistemological disobedience*: a refusal of universalist developmental logic through culturally embedded humor. In mocking the technocratic language of progress, these comedies reassert the epistemic authority of everyday life over bureaucratic abstraction.

Overall, the analysis of bureaucracy and developmental discourse reveals satire's deeper political and epistemological function. Far from mere entertainment, it acts as a vernacular critique of modernization's symbolic order. By translating the grand narratives of progress into absurd bureaucratic spectacles, Iranian televised satire exposes the limits of developmental rationality while reimagining alternative modes of cultural agency.

Theoretical Gaps: Satire, Cultural Resistance, and Developmental Critique

Despite growing interdisciplinary attention to both media satire and post-development theory, a conceptual disconnect persists between the two. While satire has been widely examined as a form of cultural resistance and subversive performance (Scott, 1990; Bakhtin, 1984; Lorenz-Spreen, Lewandowsky, Sunstein, & Hertwig, 2020; Bouvier & Machin, 2018), few analytical frameworks explicitly connect its rhetorical strategies to critiques of modernization and bureaucratic rationality. Conversely, post-development theory, as articulated by Escobar (1995) and Sachs (1992), offers a powerful epistemological critique of developmentalism but rarely engages with mediated or aesthetic practices—particularly satire—as vehicles of that critique. This separation has prevented a full understanding of how cultural production, especially in censored or semi-authoritarian contexts, articulates “soft resistance” to developmentalist ideology.

Most studies about media satire remain situated within political communication or cultural performance paradigms, emphasizing audience reception or symbolic dissent rather than epistemological

critique. Even frameworks such as the Critical Media Effects model (Lorenz-Spreen et al., 2020), which foregrounds context and inequality, do not incorporate the post-developmental insights that challenge modernization's universalist assumptions. Similarly, Critical Discourse Analysis (Mullet, 2018) provides robust tools to analyze institutional language and power asymmetries but seldom extends its application to developmental imaginaries. As a result, satire's role in destabilizing the discursive foundations of modernization remains theoretically underdeveloped.

The disjunction is especially visible in studies of popular culture, where satire often engages bureaucratic and developmental tropes without being recognized as epistemological resistance. Global examples—such as *The Daily Show* in the United States, Brazil's *Porta dos Fundos*, and Kenya's *XYZ Show*—routinely parody development institutions, NGOs, and bureaucratic absurdities, yet are rarely theorized through a post-development lens. In Middle Eastern contexts, this oversight is even more pronounced. Mehran Modiri's comedies—*Barareh Nights*, *Bitter Coffee*, and *Heyoola*—not only ridicule inefficiency but systematically deconstruct the moral and symbolic scaffolding of Iran's modernization discourse. As *Heyoola* demonstrates, the character Hooshang's manipulation of pseudo-charitable institutions exposes what Escobar (1995) would call the “fiction of progress”—the performative emptiness of neoliberal virtue under bureaucratic spectacle.

Scholars such as Kubota and Lehner (2004) advocate for postcolonial approaches that transcend cultural essentialism, while Felski (2017) calls for a move beyond suspicion toward frameworks that engage with affect, recognition, and hybridity. These orientations align closely with the interdisciplinary potential of connecting satire studies with post-development critique. Integrating Bakhtin's dialogism, Scott's hidden transcripts, and Escobar's post-development epistemology allows for a more nuanced understanding of satire as both a rhetorical and epistemic practice—one that dismantles developmentalist rationalities through vernacular humor and narrative absurdity.

The present study addresses this theoretical gap by proposing an analytical framework that unites cultural discourse analysis, narrative interpretation, and post-development theory. Through close reading of Modiri's television comedies, it investigates how satire's rhetorical arsenal—parody, allegory, and absurdism—functions as a mode of cultural resistance that destabilizes the developmentalist imagination in post-revolutionary Iran. In doing so, it contributes to bridging a critical divide in scholarship: between the analysis of humor as affective resistance and the study of development as epistemic domination.

Theoretical Framework

This study employs an interdisciplinary theoretical framework that integrates three interrelated concepts—developmental imaginaries, institutional parody, and satire as soft resistance—to analyze how Iranian televised comedy, through the works of Mehran Modiri, symbolically critiques the epistemic and bureaucratic foundations of state-led modernization. The framework draws upon post-development theory, Foucauldian notions of power/knowledge, cultural studies of humor, and the recognition-based critique articulated by Felski (2017). Together, these approaches enable a reading of satire not merely as entertainment but as a discursive practice that exposes the performative contradictions of authority under censorship.

While post-development scholars such as Escobar (1995) and Sachs (1992) deconstruct development as a Western-centric regime of truth, they rarely explore how media and aesthetic practices articulate alternative epistemologies. This study therefore bridges post-development critique with the semiotic and performative dimensions of media satire. In doing so, it situates Modiri's works—*Barareh Nights*, *Bitter Coffee*, and *Heyoola*—within what Armbrust (2017) calls “the political aesthetics of absurdity” in authoritarian contexts, where humor becomes a coded form of social reflection. These

comedies reveal how laughter itself can become a medium of epistemological disobedience (Escobar, 1995): a refusal to accept the language of rationality and progress imposed by bureaucratic modernity.

Developmental Imaginaries and Power/Knowledge

The concept of developmental imaginaries refers to the discursive visions through which states imagine progress and modernization. Escobar (1995) argues that development is not a neutral technical project but a *regime of representation*—a way of producing subjects, desires, and hierarchies through discourse. Similarly, Foucault’s (1977, 1980) formulation of *power/knowledge* shows how expertise, bureaucracy, and rationality are intertwined in the production of authority. In Iran, modernization projects—both under the Pahlavi state and the Islamic Republic—have been framed as moral and scientific missions to “reform” society, while simultaneously reinforcing paternalistic and technocratic control.

Modiri’s comedies dramatize this logic by constructing miniature bureaucratic worlds where the language of development collapses into self-parody. In *Barareh Nights*, for instance, linguistic parody and the village’s invented grammatical system, marked by reversed sentence structures and intentional violations of logical syntax, transform everyday communication into a satire of bureaucratic and developmental discourse. These distorted linguistic rules mimic the absurdity of technocratic language: formal, repetitive, and ultimately meaningless. Through this constructed idiom, Modiri exposes the dissonance between developmental rhetoric and lived experience, turning linguistic creativity into a vernacular form of critique.

These distortions perform what Foucault would call a “counter-discourse” of power, revealing the cracks within rationalized authority. Likewise, *Bitter Coffee* depicts reformist intellectuals who become entangled in their own bureaucratic optimism, echoing Scott’s (1998) critique in *Seeing Like a State*—that high-modernist visions of order often fail precisely because they ignore local complexity.

In this sense, Modiri's comedies enact what Escobar terms *epistemological disobedience*: they mock the universality of development by producing a vernacular epistemology of failure, where laughter becomes a form of knowing. Bureaucratic logic, rather than being opposed, is hyper performed to the point of collapse—transforming the “power/knowledge” nexus into its own object of ridicule.

Institutional Parody and Symbolic Governance

Building on Mitchell's (2002) notion of *symbolic governance*, bureaucracy in Modiri's satire is less an instrument of control than a spectacle of modernity—a performance that sustains legitimacy through ritualized procedure. Bureaucratic scenes in *Heyoola* and *Bitter Coffee* exaggerate this performative dimension: meetings that achieve nothing, documents that circulate endlessly, and officials who recite moral clichés detached from reality. This aesthetic of redundancy exposes how institutions maintain authority through repetition and decorum rather than competence.

Armbrust (2000, 2017) observes a similar pattern in Egyptian television, where the absurdity of official culture becomes an implicit critique of state moralism. For both Egyptian and Iranian satire, parody operates as what Butler (1990) would describe as a *performative rearticulation*: the repetition of authoritative forms in exaggerated, ironic ways that reveal their constructed nature. By amplifying the rituals of bureaucracy, Modiri's series transform the language of institutional legitimacy into comedic farce, unveiling the fragility of power's semiotic infrastructure.

This parody is not merely mockery but a semiotic intervention. As Felski (2017) argues, critique can be understood not only as suspicion but as *recognition*—an affective and aesthetic engagement with the world's contradictions. Modiri's comedies recognize the affective dimension of bureaucracy—the frustration, absurdity, and compliance that citizens experience daily—thereby humanizing critique within

everyday life. Through humor, they transform cynicism into cognition, allowing audiences to grasp the moral and emotional underpinnings of bureaucratic domination.

Satire as Soft Resistance and the Aesthetics of Absurdity

Satire, particularly under censorship, performs what Scott (1990) calls *hidden transcripts*: indirect forms of dissent articulated through metaphor, irony, and humor. In this light, Modiri's works can be read as soft resistance—a mode of critique that operates within the boundaries of acceptability while subtly undermining their logic. Drawing on Bakhtin's (1984) idea of the *carnavalesque*, these comedies create temporary worlds where social hierarchies are inverted and authority is desacralized through laughter.

In *Heyoola*, for example, the portrayal of pseudo-charitable institutions dramatizes the moral contradictions of neoliberal virtue; the characters' exaggerated benevolence exposes the self-serving logic behind institutional philanthropy. This aligns with Jameson's (1981) concept of the *political unconscious*: the idea that cultural texts encode suppressed historical tensions in symbolic form. Modiri's satire brings these tensions to the surface—between idealism and corruption, progress and paralysis, authority and absurdity—transforming humor into an epistemic tool that makes contradiction legible.

Moreover, as Armbrust (2017) and Wedeen (1999) note, in authoritarian contexts laughter itself becomes a political act of recognition: it acknowledges the absurdity of power without directly confronting it. Modiri's humor thrives in this liminal zone, where laughter is simultaneously a survival strategy and a critique. By merging Bakhtinian inversion with Foucauldian micro-politics, his comedies exemplify how humor can sustain public reflection under conditions of constraint.

Integrative Perspective

Taken together, these theoretical dimensions—developmental imaginaries, institutional parody, and satire as soft resistance—form a cohesive interpretive lens through which to examine Modiri’s work. Escobar and Foucault expose the epistemological underpinnings of developmental discourse; Mitchell and Armbrust highlight its performative bureaucratic structures; Bakhtin, Butler, and Jameson illuminate the rhetorical mechanisms through which humor destabilizes power.

By synthesizing these perspectives, the framework positions satire as both a discourse of critique and a practice of recognition (Felski, 2017). It suggests that laughter, far from being apolitical, operates as an *epistemic gesture* that contests developmentalist rationality through cultural embodiment. Within Modiri’s *Barareh Nights*, *Bitter Coffee*, and *Heyoola*, parody becomes an alternative form of political cognition—what Bayat (2013) calls “quiet encroachment”—a subtle reclaiming of interpretive space within constrained media.

Thus, the theoretical framework not only informs the subsequent methodology and analysis but also contributes to rethinking satire as a medium of epistemological resistance in the Global South. In the Iranian case, humor’s absurdity is not escapist—it is the grammar of critique.

Method

This study employs a qualitative interpretive methodology by combining critical discourse analysis (CDA) and narrative analysis to examine how Iranian televised satire articulates critique under censorship. Drawing on Fairclough (1992) and Wodak & Meyer (2015), CDA provides tools for uncovering the ideological work of language and institutional discourse, while narrative analysis (Riessman, 2008) allows for attention to story structure, irony, and metaphor as vehicles of social commentary. The analysis

proceeds through close reading of selected scenes and scripts, tracing how parody, linguistic play, and absurdity transform bureaucratic and developmental language into critique.

Case Selection and Purposive Sampling

The study focuses on three major television series directed by Mehran Modiri—*Barareh Nights* (2005–06), *Bitter Coffee* (2009–10), and *Heyoola* (2019). These works collectively span more than a decade of Iranian media production and offer a unique trajectory of how satire adapts to shifting political climates and censorship regimes. Modiri’s productions were chosen because they explicitly engage social institutions—bureaucracy, morality, and modernization—through sustained allegory and linguistic experimentation.

By contrast, other contemporary Iranian comedians, such as Reza Attaran, have largely concentrated on domestic, family-centered, or slapstick humor, which does not systematically address institutional or developmental discourse. Within Modiri’s oeuvre, however, satire functions as a consistent mode of social inquiry: a sustained negotiation with authority, bureaucracy, and the contradictions of progress. His comedies thus provide a coherent corpus for analyzing the intersection of humor, governance, and epistemic critique.

Within these three series, episodes were purposively sampled according to three criteria:

1. Parody of institutions – episodes where bureaucratic or organizational settings are central to the plot (e.g., administrative councils, charity meetings, offices of governance).
2. Linguistic creativity – scenes featuring invented or distorted language structures that parody official discourse.

3. Critique of bureaucratic logic – narrative moments where absurd procedures or moral rhetoric reveal the dissonance between institutional ideals and everyday realities.

The selection process was iterative: after identifying relevant sequences, transcripts and subtitles were examined for recurring linguistic and narrative motifs related to institutional critique.

Sample Episodes and Analytical Focus

The analysis includes emblematic episodes from each series:

- *Barareh Nights, Episode 18 – “The Census Committee”*: depicts a mock administrative council conducting irrational population surveys using nonsensical rules, illustrating the collapse of bureaucratic rationality.
- *Bitter Coffee, Episode 4 – “The Promotion Meeting”*: follows a character trapped in a self-referential bureaucratic cycle, parodying meritocracy and bureaucratic stagnation.
- *Heyoola, Episode 10 – “The Charity Auction”*: critiques neoliberal virtue signaling and pseudo-moral bureaucracy through exaggerated scenes of televised charity.

These scenes were selected not for representativeness but for their analytic richness, their ability to condense broader ideological tensions into symbolic and comedic form. Each was analyzed across two levels: (1) discourse—lexical and rhetorical markers of power, efficiency, and morality; and (2) narrative—how conflict, irony, and circular logic dramatize the failures of modernization.

Analytical Procedure

Each selected episode underwent three stages of analysis:

1. Transcription and coding – identifying linguistic features, bureaucratic metaphors, and recurrent rhetorical patterns.
2. Discourse contextualization – relating these findings to dominant state discourses of modernization, development, and moral reform.
3. Narrative synthesis – examining how the comic structure itself (e.g., repetition, circularity, absurd escalation) subverts bureaucratic order.

The integration of discourse and narrative analysis allows for the study of humor as both a linguistic artifact and a social act—one that simultaneously reproduces and destabilizes authority. Table 1 below synthesizes these stages by mapping the institutional focus, forms of parody, and analytical implications identified in each series.

Table 1

Overview of Analytical Process and Units of Analysis

Series	Institutional Focus	Mode of Parody	Analytical Implication
<i>Barareh Nights</i>	Local governance and pseudo-administration	Linguistic inversion and ritualized absurdity	Reveals how bureaucratic rationality collapses into semantic nonsense, exposing the emptiness of developmental discourse.
<i>Bitter Coffee</i>	Reformist bureaucracy and technocratic optimism	Narrative circularity and failed progress	Demonstrates the self-referential logic of reform and the paralysis of institutional reformism.

<i>Heyoola</i>	Neoliberal morality and pseudo-charity	Satirical exaggeration of ethical bureaucracy	Exposes the commodification of virtue and the moral contradictions of neoliberal modernization.
----------------	--	---	---

Reflexivity and Limitations

As a qualitative study, the analysis privileges interpretive depth over statistical generalization. While the focus on Modiri’s comedies limits representativeness, it allows for theoretical precision in linking humor to the epistemological critique of development. The interpretive framework remains attentive to the researcher’s positionality: as an observer of Iranian media discourse, the analysis acknowledges the affective and cultural embeddedness of humor within both censorship and everyday negotiation of authority.

Case Analyses

This section examines three television series by Mehran Modiri—*Barareh Nights* (2005), *Bitter Coffee* (2010), and *Heyoola* (2019)—as case studies of satirical critique in Iran’s socio-political landscape. Through historical parody, linguistic absurdity, and dark comedy, these series expose the contradictions of bureaucratic modernization, authoritarian developmentalism, and neoliberal facades. Employing critical discourse analysis and post-development theory (Fairclough, 1992; Escobar, 1995), the following analyses explore how Modiri’s satire subverts institutional power and reflects cultural resistance in a censored media environment (Scott, 1990; Zargar, 2014).

Barareh Nights: Satirizing Tribalism, Bureaucratic Absurdity, and Linguistic Obfuscation

Broadcast in 2005 on IRIB TV3, *Barareh Nights* (directed by Mehran Modiri and written by Peyman Ghasemkhani and others) became a cultural phenomenon in Iran. Set in the fictional village of Barareh during the 1930s, the series follows Kianoosh, a Tehran-based journalist exiled to Barareh for a critical article, as he confronts a society ruled by nonsensical customs, performative bureaucracy, and a made-up dialect. The show popularized terms like *pachekhari* (excessive flattery for personal gain) and *pol-e-zor bede az keyoon* (forced payment to corrupt authorities), which entered everyday Iranian discourse as symbols of corruption and arbitrary power (Modiri, 2005).

Contextual Commentary

Aired during Iran's transition from the reformist era to Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's populist presidency in 2005, *Barareh Nights* reflects post-reformist disillusionment. The series indirectly critiques authoritarian populism and bureaucratic stagnation, with scenes like the Barareh Garrison's military parades or the farcical "Barareh chess" game symbolizing the theatricality of institutional control without substance.

Key Themes and Analysis

The series employs three satirical strategies:

- **Satirical Tribalism and Localized Irrationality:** The division of Barareh into "Upper" and "Lower" sections fuels feuds over trivial matters. In episode 13, a misunderstanding sparks a village-wide brawl, mirroring Iran's ethnic and ideological tensions (Spivak, 1988).
- **Fabricated Institutions and Bureaucratic Rituals:** The Barareh Council and Garrison parody Iran's dysfunctional bureaucracy. Council meetings debate irrelevant issues like chickpea quotas, ending in meaningless resolutions, satirizing technocratic policymaking (Fairclough, 1992).

- Invented Language and Linguistic Absurdity: The Barareh dialect, with its passive voice and honorifics, parodies bureaucratic language. Phrases like *pol-e-zor bede az keyoon* expose opaque power dynamics, aligning with Foucauldian critiques of discourse (Foucault, 1980).

Narrative Function

The narrative centers on Kianoosh's clash with Barareh's absurdity. His reform attempts, like diversifying crops or publishing a newspaper, are thwarted by entrenched customs and elites like Shir Farhad (authoritarian commander) and Yavar Toghral (incompetent official). These figures resist change through loyalty networks and ritualistic language, creating a cycle of dysfunction.

Symbolic Reading

Barareh Nights critiques modernization discourses through its fictional village, an allegory for Iran's bureaucratic state where tradition and ritual override rational planning. Chickpeas, Barareh's sole product, parody oil-dependent economies. The linguistic play, as Scott (1990) suggests, offers subversive resistance by exposing elite discourse (Spivak, 1988).

Summary Sentence

By portraying Barareh as a grotesque mirror of Iranian bureaucracy, *Barareh Nights* uses satire to dismantle imposed modernization and reveal its cultural contradictions.

Bitter Coffee: Bureaucratic Stagnation and the Satire of Reformist Intellectualism

Released in 2010 on home video due to censorship, *Bitter Coffee* (directed by Mehran Modiri) follows Nima Zandkarimi, a modern history professor who time-travels to a fictional Qajar-era court. Through historical parody and absurd dialogues, the series critiques structural incompetence and reform failure, earning acclaim for its layered satire of state modernization and institutional decay (Modiri, 2010–2012).

Contextual Commentary

Produced during Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's second term, when reformist discourse was co-opted by authoritarian populism following the 2009 protests, *Bitter Coffee* captures public cynicism toward bureaucratic promises. The court's hollow rituals parallel Iran's failed reformist trajectory, embodying Escobar's (1995) notion of the "bureaucratization of hope" under developmentalist regimes. The Qajar-era setting is not merely historical: it operates as a temporal allegory for the stalled ambitions of post-revolutionary modernity.

Key Themes and Analysis

Three themes drive the satire:

Royal Court as Bureaucratic Stagnation:

The royal court functions as a theater of policy inaction, as substitutes for strategy. In one scene, Bluetooth—the court tutor—poses a seemingly moral question to King Jahangir:

"One person has 100 coins, another has 1. Which one do we take from?"

The king replies: *"The one with 1 coin... He has no power. We take his coin and hit him over the head."*

This cynical inversion of justice parodies how state logic often exploits the weak because they lack protection. Other scenes feature absurd debates about banning coffee or creating a "Minister of Sleep." These moments illustrate a performative polity where speech replaces governance, echoing Fairclough's (1992) critique of technocratic discourse.

Ineffectual Intellectual as Reformist Proxy

Nima, the central intellectual, embodies the rational reformer trapped in an illogical system. In one scene, King Jahangir invents a guessing game:

“We picked something. Now guess what it is... It’s banana.” Despite being given the answer, the courtiers fail to identify it, mistaking it for a couch or a ring. *“Oh my God, it’s even harder now,”* exclaims Bluetooth.

This absurdity mirrors how systems twist information and frustrate critique. Nima’s genuine proposals—such as initiating a vaccination campaign—are labeled “sorcery.” As Felski (2017) argues, critique becomes impotent when truth is performatively distorted rather than rationally engaged.

Cyclical Failure and Absorption of Reform

Reform in *Bitter Coffee* is not merely blocked, it is mocked, reframed, and drained of meaning. In one scene, Nima’s suggestion to modernize is echoed when the king dons Western clothing, but no policy changes follow. In another, the advisor confronts Bikhodi-al-Molk, head of the “Royal Colonial Department,” who insists that France, Russia, the Ottoman Empire, and America are Iran’s colonies—despite no governors, taxes, or troops.

“Perhaps we disagree on definitions,” says the advisor.

Similarly, when the advisor questions the title “Department of Royal Vanguard Forces,” he is told it is not the Ministry of War. These symbolic name games parody the renaming of coercive institutions in authoritarian regimes to mask function with honorifics. Produced during a time when Iran’s leadership proclaimed ambitions to “manage the world,” these scenes critique the fantasy of grandeur projected by regimes mired in domestic dysfunction.

Narrative Function

Nima's growing frustration mirrors the disillusionment of Iran's reformist class. Each episode ends with absurdity, repetition, or reversal—never resolution. The narrative structure enacts Escobar's (1995) "circular logic of development": a perpetual promise of progress undercut by stagnation and reversal.

Symbolic Reading

A striking example of symbolic inversion occurs after an earthquake devastates the countryside. Bald-al-Molk reports crop failure to the king, who exclaims,

"Woe is us!" But Atabak (the chancellor) reassures him that the royal granaries are full—because the remaining harvest has been seized from the peasants. The king then commands: *"Flog them all, so they learn to protect their crops."*

This moment captures the absurd brutality of a system that disciplines the vulnerable rather than supports them. Aid flows upward; punishment descends. Scott (1990) calls this "symbolic domination"—where power disciplines through ritual, spectacle, and misdirection. Spivak (1988) frames such epistemic violence as the re-narration of suffering into failure. Through this brutal humor, the show reveals how authority protects privilege by disciplining the poor.

Summary Sentence

Through allegory, circular narrative, and institutional absurdity, *Bitter Coffee* reveals how reformist critique is neutralized, mocked, and recycled within the self-sustaining logic of authoritarian developmentalism.

Heyoola: Satirizing Neoliberalism, Meritocracy's Collapse, and Performative Modernization

Aired in 2019 on Filimo, *Heyoola* (directed by Mehran Modiri) marks a return to socio-political satire. It follows Hooshang, a schoolteacher drawn into corrupt educational institutions and fraudulent charities.

Through dark comedy, the series critiques meritocracy's collapse, education's commodification, and pseudo-modern institutions under Iran's surveilled media (Zargar, 2014; Holm, 2023).

Contextual Commentary

Broadcast amid post-sanctions skepticism about economic inequality, *Heyoola* reflects Iran's post-2015 contradictions: reformist rhetoric masking deep structural dysfunction. It critiques the regime's modernist claims and the private sector's exploitative pseudo-charities, echoing global concerns about neoliberal developmentalism (Escobar, 1995; Sachs, 1992). The show satirizes how institutions rooted in authoritarian governance adopt the language of modernization while preserving extractive logics beneath a charitable veneer.

Key Themes and Analysis

Three themes shape the satire:

Systemic Corruption in Education and Philanthropy

Hooshang's transformation from principled teacher to complicit bureaucrat reflects the institutional capture of moral capital. In one scene, a charity board rebrands donations as "investments," illustrating the absorption of ethics into financial logic (Fairclough, 1992; Holm, 2023).

In another scene, Sharafat seeks a small housing loan. Kamrava, the organization's director, theatrically offers him 200 million tomans and instructs him to curl his lips while saying the number to make it sound smaller:

"Even 500 million works with the right lip shape. Once I wanted a trillion-rial loan, but had a cold sore and got rejected." This moment illustrates how access to resources is mediated not by merit or justice, but

by performance, flattery, and absurd bodily codes—a satirical reflection of Iran’s post-banking ethics under crony capitalism.

Collapse of Meritocracy

Hooshang’s daughter enters university using forged credentials, showing how privilege trumps merit. When questioned, Hooshang defends the act by saying,

“Everyone cheats—I’m just catching up.”

This cynical rationalization echoes broader societal erosion of ethical standards. Institutions reward survivalism, not integrity, as corruption becomes both defense and destiny.

Performativity of Modernization

Institutions branded as “Modern Schools” adopt the rhetoric of innovation while functioning through opaque networks. In one scene, Hooshang is awarded a fake doctorate with a self-congratulatory speech, parodying the mimicry of Western academic formalism (Bruhn & Doona, 2021).

A sharper critique emerges when the board of KHAF (Khaak-e Paaye Farhangian Foundation) meets to discuss currency fluctuations—a bizarre overstep for a teacher’s charity. Moments later, they switch seats and become MAKASHEF’s board, parodying Iran’s rotating shell companies where quasi-governmental firms own shares in each other to simulate privatization while retaining state control. This satirizes Iran’s hybrid authoritarian-neoliberal economy, where decentralization hides unaccountable power.

Narrative Function

Hooshang's moral decline, unlike Kianoosh's outsider status in *Bitter Coffee*, portrays a system-born insider succumbing to internal corruption. His justifications is "*If I don't take it, someone else will.*" This reveals how personal ethics erode under systemic opportunism. Figures like Bahador (the financier) and "Doctor" (the elusive philanthropist) represent faceless institutional power: omnipresent yet unreachable.

Symbolic Reading

Heyoola unveils the mechanisms by which **moral discourse is weaponized** to protect entrenched interests. In one episode, Kamrava is suspected of an improper relationship with a female board member. The matter is quickly suppressed, and the woman dismissed. Sharafat asks:

"You're that sensitive to corruption?"

Kamrava responds sternly:

"Corruption is our red line. This kind of corruption."

The scene skewers selective morality, where institutions ignore systemic abuse but hyper-police sexual or behavioral "deviance." This mirrors the operations of state-affiliated watchdogs in Iran, who often obscure structural failings under the guise of defending social norms. As Felski (2017) and Spivak (1988) argue, regimes of domination are often upheld not by open force, but by discursive redirection and moral discipline.

Summary Sentence

Through satirical depictions of ethical decay, pseudo-modern institutions, and performative governance, *Heyoola* exposes the contradictions of bureaucratic modernism and neoliberal development under authoritarian constraints.

Table 2

Comparative Analysis of Satirical Units Across Modiri’s Series

Unit of Analysis	Barareh Nights	Bitter Coffee	Heyoola
Symbolic Institutions	Barareh Council and Garrison (debates over chickpeas, absurd security drills with “enemy” forces)	Qajar court with fictitious offices (e.g., Minister of Sleep, Royal Colonial Department with no real colonies)	Rotating pseudo-charities (e.g., KHAFF/MAKASHEF), simulating privatization with state-owned loops
Language Use	Barareh dialect (e.g., “pol-e-zor bede az keyoon”); misuse of honorifics reflecting opaque authority	Symbolic reversals in discourse (e.g., banana guessing game masking illogical power; mock colonial rhetoric)	Neoliberal jargon (e.g., “talent cultivation,” “social responsibility”) obscuring corruption and economic capture
Narrative Absurdity	Absurd rule-making (e.g., chess match ending with “Maat Chaleng” and umbrella violence)	Circular failure (e.g., court adopting Western clothes with no reform; disciplining peasants’ post-earthquake)	Performance over merit (e.g., lips-and-loans technique; fake doctorate ceremony; moral policing masking institutional decay)

Discussion

This study analyzed Mehran Modiri’s *Barareh Nights* (2005), *Bitter Coffee* (2010), and *Heyoola* (2019) to explore how televised satire in Iran critiques bureaucratic modernization and authoritarian developmentalism. Through the integration of critical discourse analysis and post-development theory, the findings reveal how these comedies expose the performative failures of institutional rationality, the linguistic mechanisms of resistance, and the cultural rearticulation of modernity in constrained contexts.

This section situates those findings within broader theoretical and comparative frameworks to highlight satire's epistemic and political functions in non-democratic media environments.

Symbolic Breakdown of Institutional Rationality

Modiri's comedies dismantle what Mitchell (2002) calls *symbolic governance*—the spectacle through which states sustain legitimacy by mimicking efficiency. In *Barareh Nights*, the mock administrative council and its circular reasoning expose the emptiness of developmental logic, while *Bitter Coffee*'s Qajar court dramatizes the “bureaucratization of hope,” where reforms decorate stagnation. *Heyoola* extends this critique to neoliberal moralism, revealing how charity and entrepreneurship merge into self-legitimizing rituals of virtue. Across all three, rationality itself becomes performative failure: the more the institution performs order, the more it reveals its absurdity.

Satire as Cultural Resistance in Closed Contexts

Within the constraints of censorship, satire in Modiri's work operates as what Scott (1990) terms *hidden transcripts*—modes of critique disguised in laughter and irony. Rather than open confrontation, these comedies practice what Bayat (2013) calls *quiet encroachment*, reappropriating the moral and linguistic codes of power. *Barareh Nights* inverts bureaucratic language through its invented dialect; *Bitter Coffee* turns reformist optimism into self-parody; and *Heyoola* unmaskes neoliberal benevolence as a profit-making performance. In this sense, humor becomes a grammar of resistance: a way of *knowing through laughter* that makes contradiction intelligible. As Felski (2017) suggests, critique here is not only suspicion but recognition—an affective engagement that transforms cynicism into understanding.

Popular Media and the Rearticulation of Modernity

The wide reception of these series illustrates how popular media can erode public faith in official narratives of progress while generating new, vernacular modernities. Following Appadurai (1996), Modiri's satire reimagines development not as a teleology of Westernization but as a local negotiation between tradition, irony, and everyday pragmatism. *Barareh Nights* mocks monocultural dependency, *Bitter Coffee* parodies bureaucratic reformism, and *Heyoola* critiques neoliberal moralism. Together they demonstrate that in the Iranian mediascape, laughter performs epistemological disobedience (Escobar, 1995): it refuses to think within the limits of technocratic rationality.

Future Research Directions

While this article has focused on institutional and discursive critique, future research could examine the micro-level of character construction and audience reception. Characters such as Kianoosh (*Barareh Nights*), Nima Zandkarimi (*Bitter Coffee*), and Hooshang (*Heyoola*) embody distinct responses to power—from mimicry and moral ambivalence to complicity. Analyzing these figures' narrative trajectories could extend the discussion of satire from institutional critique to everyday subjectivities, deepening the understanding of humor as a lived form of resistance in authoritarian settings.

Conclusion

Modiri's *Barareh Nights*, *Bitter Coffee*, and *Heyoola* demonstrate that satire, far from being mere entertainment, serves as a cultural and epistemological practice of critique. By exposing the performative failures of bureaucratic rationality, rearticulating dissent through irony, and fostering vernacular imaginaries of modernity, these series exemplify *soft resistance in closed contexts*. Their humor discloses not only the absurdities of institutional power but also the affective possibilities of recognition and critical reflection.

In positioning Iranian televised satire within global conversations on humor and power, this study contributes to the broader understanding of how comedy sustains critique under constraint. Satire, in this light, becomes an art of survival—one that turns the grammar of bureaucracy into the poetry of dissent.

Author Disclosure Statement:

The author affirms that the conceptual framework, analytical interpretations, and all critical judgments in this article are entirely the result of original human authorship. A generative AI tool (ChatGPT, by OpenAI) was used in limited capacities for language refinement and rephrasing, without generating content, citations, or theoretical claims. The final manuscript reflects the author's own intellectual contributions.

References

Ajam Media Collective. (2014, November 17). *Iranian home shows: Satire and subversion on screen*.

Ajam Media Collective. <https://ajammc.com/2014/11/17/iranian-home-shows/>

Appadurai, A. (1996). *Modernity at large: Cultural dimensions of globalization*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.

Armbrust, W. (2000). *Mass culture and modernism in Egypt*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Armbrust, W. (2017). *Martyrs and tricksters: An ethnography of the Egyptian revolution*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Bakhtin, M. M. (1984). *Rabelais and his world (H. Iswolsky, Trans.)*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press. (Original work published 1965)

Bayat, A. (2013). *Life as politics: How ordinary people change the Middle East (2nd ed.)*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Bouvier, G., & Machin, D. (2018). *Critical discourse studies and the challenges of the Global South*. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*, 35(4), 345–355.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15358593.2018.1480793>

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). *Using thematic analysis in psychology*. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>

Bruhn, T., & Doona, J. (2021). *Serious grappling with satire: Rhetorical genre affordances and invitations to participation in public controversy*. *Javnost - The Public*, 28(3), 284–300.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13183222.2021.1983303>

Butler, J. (1990). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. Routledge.

El-Amrani, I. (2011). *Satire and the limits of political critique in Egyptian television*. *Arab Media & Society*, (12). <https://www.arabmediasociety.com/satire-and-the-limits-of-political-critique-in-egyptian-television/>

Escobar, A. (1995). *Encountering development: The making and unmaking of the Third World*. Princeton University Press.

Fairclough, N. (1992). *Discourse and social change*. Polity Press.

Falaki, A. (2022). *The mediating role of Iranian television comedy series: Mehran Modiri's Barareh Nights and Bitter Coffee*. *Comedy Studies*, 13(1), 1–12.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2040610X.2022.2040799>

Felski, R. (2017). *Critique and postcritique*. *MLN*, 132(5), 878–885.

Foucault, M. (1977). *Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison* (A. Sheridan, Trans.). Vintage Books.

Foucault, M. (1980). *Power/knowledge: Selected interviews and other writings 1972–1977* (C. Gordon, Ed.). Pantheon Books.

Gray, J., Jones, J. P., & Thompson, E. (2009). *Satire TV: Politics and comedy in the post-network era*. NYU Press.

Heidari-Shahreza, M. A. (2017). *A rhetorical analysis of humor styles and techniques used in Persian stand-up comedy*. HUMOR, 30(4), 461–482. <https://doi.org/10.1515/humor-2017-0025>

Holm, N. (2023). *The limits of satire, or the reification of cultural politics*. Thesis Eleven, 172(1), 103–120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07255136231154266>

Jameson, F. (1981). *The political unconscious: Narrative as a socially symbolic act*. Cornell University Press.

Katouzian, H. (2019). *An approach to humour in Persian literature*. IranNamag, 4(2), 45–67. <https://www.irannamag.com/en/article/approach-humour-persian-literature/>

Kraidy, M. M. (2016). *The naked blogger of Cairo: Creative insurgency in the Arab world*. Harvard University Press.

Kubota, R., & Lehner, A. (2004). *Toward critical contrastive rhetoric*. Journal of Second Language Writing, 13(1), 7–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2004.04.003>

Lorenz-Spreen, P., Lewandowsky, S., Sunstein, C. R., & Hertwig, R. (2020). *The critical media effects framework*. Journal of Communication, 70(3), 379–401. <https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqaa014>

Lorenz-Spreen, P., Lewandowsky, S., Sunstein, C. R., & Hertwig, R. (2022). *A systematic review of worldwide causal and correlational evidence on digital media and democracy*. Nature Human Behaviour, 6(10), 1321–1333. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-022-01460-1>

Mitchell, T. (2002). *Rule of experts: Egypt, techno-politics, modernity*. University of California Press.

Modiri, M. (Director). (2005). *Barareh Nights* [TV series]. IRIB TV3.

Modiri, M. (Director). (2010–2012). *Bitter Coffee* [TV series]. Produced by H. Aghagolian & M. Aghagolian. Self-distributed.

Modiri, M. (Director). (2019–2020). *Heyoola* [TV series]. S. M. Ahmadi (Producer). Filimo.

Mullet, D. R. (2018). *A general critical discourse analysis framework for educational research*. *Review of Educational Research*, 88(4), 643–668. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654318791589>

Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Riessman, C. K. (2008). *Narrative methods for the human sciences*. SAGE Publications.

Rodelo, F. V., Morales, J., & Garcia, L. (2023). *Political satire in the changing media landscape*. ResearchGate. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372007320>

Sachs, W. (Ed.). (1992). *The development dictionary: A guide to knowledge as power*. Zed Books.

Scott, J. C. (1990). *Domination and the arts of resistance: Hidden transcripts*. Yale University Press.

Scott, J. C. (1998). *Seeing like a state: How certain schemes to improve the human condition have failed*. Yale University Press.

Spivak, G. C. (1988). *Can the subaltern speak?* In C. Nelson & L. Grossberg (Eds.), *Marxism and the interpretation of culture* (pp. 271–313). University of Illinois Press.

Tang, L., & Bhattacharya, S. (2011). *Power and resistance: A case study of satire on the Internet*. *Sociological Research Online*, 16(2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.5153/sro.2375>

Webber, J., Momen, M., Finley, J., Krefting, R., Willett, C., & Willett, J. (2021). *The political force of the comedic*. *Contemporary Political Theory*, 20(2), 419–446. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41296-020-00451-z>

Wedeen, L. (1999). *Ambiguities of domination: Politics, rhetoric, and symbols in contemporary Syria*. University of Chicago Press.

Wodak, R., & Meyer, M. (Eds.). (2015). *Methods of critical discourse studies* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Xi, Y. (2023). *Adaptive agency: The satire genre and the motives behind its use in the era of social media in China*. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1), 277. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-01768-x>

Yurchak, A. (2006). *Everything was forever, until it was no more: The last Soviet generation*. Princeton University Press.

Zahedi, S., & Khoshsaligheh, M. (2025). *Reading of humor-added subtitles of foreign films in Persian: An eye-tracking study*. *Language Related Research*, 16(3), 29–52. <https://doi.org/10.48311/LRR.16.3.2>

Zargar, C. A. (2014). *Satiric traversals in the comedy of Mehran Modiri: Space, irony, and national allegory on Iranian television*. *Journal of Middle East Media*, 10(1), 23–45. <https://www.academia.edu/10073989/>